Last Updated: February 2018 V-01007-TSL-RHW Document 28 Filed 03/22/18 Page 1 of 5

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. JAN. 2018)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

CHARLOTTE	CURTIS	AND
COLEMAN CU	URTIS	

PLAINTIFFS

v. EMILY AULTM SHELTER MUT	IAN, TUAL INSURANCE COMPA		N 1007-TSL-RHW DEFENDANTS
and DOE DEFE			
	CASE MANA	AGEMENT ORDE	ER
modified only by ord	g all deadlines, has been establisher of the Court on a showing of the to portions of the record.		ation of all parties and can be d with affidavits, other evidentiary
IT IS HEREBY ORDE	RED:		
1. ESTIMATED I	DAYS OF TRIAL:	2-3	
ESTIMATED T	TOTAL NUMBER OF WITNESSES:	5-7	
EXPERT TEST	TIMONY EXPECTED: Yes	NO. OF EXPERTS:	2-3
2. Alternativ	VE DISPUTE RESOLUTION [ADR	R]. (Pick one)	
Alternative d	ispute resolution techniques app	ear helpful and will b	e used in this civil action as follows:
Possible med	liation of case after initial discov	very.	

3. Consent to Trial by United States Magistrate Judge. (Pick one)

The parties do not consent to trial by a United States Magistrate Judge.

4. DISCLOSURE. (Pick one)

	The pre-discovery disclosure requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P.26(a)(1) and U.L.Civ.R. 16(d) and 26 (a) have been complied with fully.
5.	MOTIONS; ISSUE BIFURCATION. (Pick one)
	Staged resolution, or bifurcation of the issues for trial in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 42 (b) will not assist in the prompt resolution of this action.
	Statement Not Applicable.
6.	DISCOVERY PROVISIONS AND LIMITATIONS.
	A. Interrogatories are limited to <u>30</u> succinct questions.
	B. Requests for Production are limited to25 succinct questions.
	C. Requests for Admissions are limited to15 succinct questions.
	D. Depositions are limited to the parties, experts, and no more than
	4 fact witness depositions per party without additional approval of the Court

FORM 1 (ND/SD MISS. JAN. 2018)

Е.	The parties have complied with the requirements of Local Rule 26(f)(2) and (3)(C) regarding discovery of electronically stored information and have concluded as follows:
	The parties have not identified any ESI. If any is found to exist or is generated, the parties agree that it will be produced in paper format or in .pdf format.
F.	The court imposes the following further discovery provisions or limitations:
\checkmark	1. The parties have agreed that defendant may obtain a Fed.R.Civ. P. 35 (L.U.Civ.R. 35) medical examination of the plaintiff (within subpoena range of the court) by a physician who has not examined the plaintiff, and that defendant may arrange the examination without further order of the court. The examination must be completed in time to comply with expert designation discovery deadlines.
√	2. Pursuant to FED.R.EVID. 502(d), the attorney-client privilege and the work-product protections are not waived by any disclosure connected within this litigation pending before this Court. Further, the disclosures are not waived in any other federal or state proceeding.
\checkmark	3. Plaintiff must execute an appropriate, HIPAA-compliant medical authorization.
\checkmark	4. The court desires to avoid the necessity of filing written discovery motions where court participation in an informal discussion of the issue might resolve it, even after the parties have been unsuccessful in a good faith attempt to do so. Consequently, before a party may serve any discovery motion, counsel must first in good faith as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(1). If the attorney conference does not resolve the dispute, counsel must contact the chambers of the magistrate judge to request a telephonic conference to discuss the issue as contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P.16(b)(3)(B) (v). Only if the telephonic conference with the judge is unsuccessful in resolving the issue may a party file a discovery motion.
	5. Other:

1	FORM 1	(ND/SD	MISS, JAN,	201	8)

	1 1	• , •	1		•	. •
Δ	ddi	111A	กลโ	1nt	orm	ation:
Δ	uu.	IUO.	пап	1111	OHI	auon.

7.	Sc	CHEDULING DEADLINES
	A.	Trial. This action is set for <u>JURY TRIAL</u>
		beginning on: May 6, 2019, at 9:00, a.m., in Jackson,
		Mississippi, before United States <u>District</u> Judge <u>Tom S. Lee</u> .
		THE ESTIMATED NUMBER OF DAYS FOR TRIAL IS $_2-3$. ANY CONFLICTS WITH THIS TRIAL DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING TO THE TRIAL JUDGE IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF THIS CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER.
	В.	Pretrial. The pretrial conference is set on: April 15, 2019 , at 9:00 , a.m. , in <u>Jackson</u> , Mississippi, before United States <u>District</u>
		Judge Tom S. Lee
	C.	Discovery. All discovery must be completed by: September 14, 2018
	D.	Amendments. Motions for joinder of parties or amendments to the pleadings must be
		filed by: April 20, 2018
	Ε.	Experts. The parties' experts must be designated by the following dates:
		1. Plaintiff(s): June 1, 2018
		2. Defendant(s): July 2, 2018

	FORM 1	(ND/SD	MISS.	JAN.	2018)
--	--------	--------	-------	------	------	---

8. MOTIONS. All dispos	sitive motions and <i>Daubert</i> -type motions challenging another party's expert
_	ember 28, 2018
before the pretrial con	ference; the deadline for responses is seven days before the pretrial
conference.	
9. SETTLEMENT CONFE	RENCE.
A SETTLEMENT CONFEI	RENCE is set on: October 16, 2018 , at 1:30 , p.m. in
Jackson	, Mississippi, before United States Magistrate Judge
Robert H. Walker	·
Seven (7) days before t	he settlement conference, the parties must submit via e-mail to the magistrate
judge's chambers an up	odated CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT MEMORANDUM. All parties are
required to be present a	t the conference unless excused by the Court. If a party believes the scheduled
settlement conference v	would not be productive and should be cancelled, the party is directed to inform
the Court via e-mail of	the grounds for their belief at least seven (7) days prior to the conference.
10. Report Regarding	ADR. On or before (7 days before FPTC) April 8, 2019, the parties
	ersigned all ADR efforts they have undertaken to comply with the Local Rules or
_	s to support a finding of just cause for failure to comply. See L.U.Civ.R.83.7(f)(3).
r	σ το συγγ.
So Ordered:	
March 22, 2018	/s/ Robert H. Walker
DATE	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE